

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH APRIL 2007 / 21ST CHAITHRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1364 of 2006(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.22311/2006 Dated 12/09/2006
.....

PETITIONER:

P. RAGHAVA PODUVAL, AGED 59 YEARS,
S/O.LATE V. AMBU PODUVAL, CAO, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.G.D.PANICKER
SMT.JEENA JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS:

P.T. MATHEW, S/O.P.M. THOMAS,
AGED 43 YEARS, GENERAL MANAGER,
TELECOM DIST., BSNL, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SRI.MATHEWS K.PHILIP,SC, BSNL

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 11/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = =
C.O.C. No. 1364 OF 2006
= = = = =

Dated this the 11th April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the statements made in the counter affidavit filed by the respondent would show that the respondent has scant respect for truth and that if enquiries are conducted it will come out that false statements have been made by the respondent. It is also submitted that the tenor of the language used in the affidavit itself would show that the respondent has no respect for the orders of the Court.

2. Counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent has sworn to only true facts in his affidavit and that the Chairman and Managing Director has passed orders in favour of the petitioner, in due compliance of the directions in the judgment.

3. I have heard both sides and perused the materials on record. Though it appears that certain

actions on the part of the respondent caused grievance to the petitioner in the matter of his functioning in the office, on a careful reading of the directions in the judgment and the order passed by the Chairman and Managing Director, I do not think it proper to find that the respondent has committed contempt of court.

4. I am inclined to drop further proceedings and close this contempt of court case. Ordered accordingly.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/